

## **REMARKS**

Applicants hereby reply to the Final Office Action dated November 2, 2006 within two months. Thus, Applicants request an Advisory Action, if necessary. Claims 1, 8, 11, and 12 were pending in the application and the Examiner rejects claims 1, 8, 11, and 12. Reconsideration of the pending claims is requested. The amendments are adequately supported in the originally-filed specification, drawings and claims. No new matter is added in this Reply.

### **Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

The Examiner rejects claims 1, 8, 11, and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' own admissions in view of Gershman et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,199,099 ("Gershman"), and further in view of McGinty, WO 2001/52078 A1 ("McGinty"). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Gershman generally discloses an Internet based information retrieval and display system, wherein a wireless device, such as a cell phone, provides its user with a portal to the Internet. The wireless device enables the user to input information regarding the user's interest in purchasing goods and/or services. The information is transmitted from the portable device to a web server where it is used to construct a query. The query is then run to retrieve price, shipping, and availability information from various web merchants.

Gershman further discloses various hardware and software devices to provide the functionality described above. The mobile portal of Gershman comprises an encryption and decryption element, a mobile portal server, intelligent agents, a customer intelligence element, and a customer database. When data is passed between the wireless device and the mobile portal, the data is encrypted. The mobile portal uses the encryption and decryption element to decrypt incoming data and encrypt outgoing data.

Regarding Applicants' claim element disclosing isolating hyperlinks from content, the Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to, "add the technique of identifying and isolating embedded hyperlinks as taught by McGinty with the Applicant's discussion regarding the features and uses of a standard PDA because isolating and removing or encrypting the hyperlinks increases the security of the data transmissions" (page 5, paragraph 2). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

McGinty discloses a system for eliminating "dead links" from documents that contain hyperlinks to other documents. Because the Internet is dynamic, hyperlinks often become outdated or unusable when documents are removed, renamed, or moved to a new server location. McGinty is

limited to a system that separates a first document into hyperlink elements and non-hyperlink elements. The separated hyperlinks are then tested to determine if they are valid. The valid links are added to a list and the McGinty system generates a second document including the non-hyperlink elements and the hyperlinks from the list of valid links. The second document is then provided to a client.

Importantly, it should be noted that it is the method of isolating hyperlinks combined with the encryption of content that is critical to the operation of the present invention, as the isolation of the hyperlinks enables the system to provide navigational secured offline content to the PDA user. Significantly, Applicant asserts that combining the cited references would render the present invention inoperable. Combining Gershman with McGinty would produce a system wherein links to related web pages would be unreadable due to the encryption of the primary web page. Thus, retrieval of the pages would not be possible. As such, neither Gershman, McGinty, nor any combination thereof, disclose or suggest at least an encryption device configured to, "establish a secure connection to said source using a negotiated encryption key, receive encrypted content which is an encrypted portion of first content, decrypt said encrypted content, interrogate said encrypted content to identify an embedded link, isolate said imbedded link, re-encrypt said first content with an encryption key of a PDA user, receive second content based on said imbedded link, and transmit said imbedded link, said first content, and said second content to said PDA portal," as similarly recited by independent claims 1, 8, and 11.

Claim 12 depends from independent claim 11. Thus, Claim 12 is differentiated from the cited references for at least the same reasons as set forth above, as well as its own respective features.

Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. **19-2814**. Applicants invite the Examiner to telephone the undersigned, if the Examiner has any questions regarding this Reply or the present application in general.

Respectfully submitted,

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Howard I. Sobelman, Reg. No. 39,038

Dated: January 2, 2007

**SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.**

400 E. Van Buren

One Arizona Center

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Phone: 602-382-6228

Fax: 602-382-6070

Email: [hsobelman@swlaw.com](mailto:hsobelman@swlaw.com)

AXP No. TH200208940

1922980